

Inner Asian Wisdom Traditions in the Pre-Mongol Period

Author(s): Robert Dankoff

Reviewed work(s):

Source: Journal of the American Oriental Society, Vol. 101, No. 1, Oriental Wisdom (Jan. -

Mar., 1981), pp. 87-95

Published by: American Oriental Society
Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/602165

Accessed: 21/12/2012 07:51

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Oriental Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Journal of the American Oriental Society.

http://www.jstor.org

INNER ASIAN WISDOM TRADITIONS IN THE PRE-MONGOL PERIOD

ROBERT DANKOFF

University of Chicago

- I. Sources.
- II. Contents.
 - A. Royal Instructions.
 - B. Proverbs.
 - C. Key Terms.
 - D. Authorities.
 - E. "Wisdom."
- III. Conclusion.

L. SOURCES.

OUR KNOWLEDGE OF INNER ASIAN WISDOM TRADITIONS before the thirteenth century is scanty indeed. Indigenous sources may be reduced to four:

- 1. The Orkhon inscriptions, particularly the monuments of Kül-Tägin erected in 732 and of Tonyukuk erected some years earlier (Talât Tekin, 1968). Although it is clear that they reflect a tradition of royal wisdom, it is too early to judge whether this was indigenous or whether it depended on Chinese and/or Iranian models.
- 2. The Tun-huang and Turfan manuscript fragments. These are of uncertain provenance and date, though they probably belong to the tenth century. The fragments in runic script were originally published by Thomsen (1912: 215-217) and were recently re-edited by Hamilton and Bazin (1972). The Uighur fragment, consisting of thirteen proverbs actually labeled *türk savi* ("Turkic proverb"), was published by Arat (1936: 53ff; 1965: 272-275).
- 3. The Dīwān Luġāt at-Turk of Maḥmūd al-Kāšġarī. The final redaction of this encyclopedic dictionary, modeled after the Arabic lexicons, was probably made in 1077. It makes clear that the Turks were in possession of a large stock of indigenous proverbs. Kāšġarī cites roughly 270 proverbs, several given in more than one variant; also numerous wisdom verses. The unique manuscript of the Dīwān was published in facsimile (Kāšġarī 1941), and is cited below as DLT plus page number (according to that edition) and entry word. (See the forthcoming Compendium of the Turkic

Dialects, ed. and tr. R. Dankoff in collaboration with J. Kelly.) The proverbs were collected by Brockelmann (1920; see also Hommel, 1923) and Birtek (1944); the wisdom verses again by Brockelmann (1924: 34-44). 4. The Qutadgu Bilig by Yūsuf Khāss Hājib, of Balasagun, written in 1069-70. This is a long didactic poem in the "Mirror-for-Princes" genre which combines Turkic Inner Asian with Arabo-Persian Islamic traditions. The text is cited below as KB plus line number according to the critical edition of Arat (Yūsuf, 1947). The shorter and later (thirteenth century?) work entitled Atebetü'l-hakayık (Yükneki, 1951) is wholly within the Islamic-Iranian framework and so is not considered here, although it does furnish illustrations of the process of transforming proverbs into verses, discussed below (e.g. line 328; cf. DLT 514 qān, 554 vu-).

Finally we should mention non-indigenous sources. Both the Chinese and the Arabs tended to belittle the cultural traditions of the Inner Asian peoples, with only occasional and reluctant admission of their sagacity. In the early years of the seventh century, the counsellor P'ei-kü advised the emperor that the Turks were really simple and uncomplicated people, and it would be easy to control them, were it not for the presence among them of malicious and cunning Sogdians who acted as their instructors and guides (Liu. 1958: I, 87; cf. I, 194). The T'ang-shu provides a portrait of the wise Tonyukuk (Liu, 1958: I, 171 ff.) which nicely parallels the self-portrait in his monument (see below). The ninth century Arab writer al-Jāhiz, in the course of an encomium on the Turks, quotes a contemporary of his as saying: "And if in their part of the world there had been prophets and wise men in their country, and they had happened to think of such things and had had leisure to attend to them, they would have made you forget the learning of Başra and the wisdom of Greece and the industry of China" (Walker, 1915: 676). Despite the implication, at least one Turkic wisdom tradition did enter the mainstream of Arab belles-lettres (Dankoff, 1977).

II. CONTENTS.

A. ROYAL INSTRUCTION. Although the main functions of the Orkhon inscriptions are commemorative and propagandistic, they may be considered "wisdom literature" insofar as these functions are carried out through the technique of royal instruction. This is clearest in the first part (south side) of the Kül-Tägin monument. The ruler, Bilgä Khagan, harangues the people, reminding them first of his conquests, and second of his having saved them from the ignominy of Chinese subjection. He lays great stress on the idea that the legitimacy and the power of his rule are bound up with its being centered in the Ötükän (i.e. probably the Tannu Ola) range (KT S 3, 8). And in particular he cites what sounds like a hoary maxim: "The land from which to govern the realm is the mountain stronghold of Ötükän" (KT S 4: ēl tutsiq yer ötükän yiš ärmiš). This agrees with what the Chou-shu tells us of the Turk Khagan, that he always dwelt on the mountain Tu-kinshan (Liu, 1958: I, 10); but it also agrees with Tonyukuk's policy of Turk self-government and rebellion against the Chinese (Ton S 10). We unfortunately know too little about the religious and strategic significance of Ötükän to judge whether the above maxim indeed reflects an age(s)-old tradition of royal wisdom (cf. Gabain, 1949; Czegledi, 1962).

Then, while warning against the seductions of the Chinese, Bilgä Khagan contrasts the unwise instruction of "an evil man" who counseled the people to associate with the Chinese, and his own good instruction to keep their distance. The evil saying is: "If you are distant they give bad silks, if you are near they give good silks" (KT S 7). His own admonition is: "When you are hungry you do not recall being full, and once you are full you do not recall being hungry" (KT S 8). Both counsels are couched in the parallel and contrastive form typical of Turkic wisdom sayings:

iraq ärsär yavlaq aģi berür yaģaq ärsär ädgü aģi berür ačsiq tosiq ömäz sän bir todsar ačsiq ömäz sän

It is significant that the same title of Bilgä, meaning "sage," is adopted by the Khagan and by the royal counsellor Tonyukuk. The latter quotes what must be considered the earliest attested Turkic proverbs. The first occurs while Tonyukuk is wondering whether or not to make Elterish the Khagan: "If you try to distinguish from a distance between a lean bull and a fat bull, you cannot say whether it is a fat bull or a lean

bull" (Ton W 5-6). The second relates more clearly to its context, in which Tonyukuk is trying to convince the Khagan to attack his enemies separately before they have the opportunity to form an alliance: "What is thin is easy to bend and what is weak is easy to break; if thin becomes thick it is hard to bend and if weak becomes tough it is hard to break" (Ton S 6-7). The same notion lies behind the single-arrow versus joined-arrows motif in the later Chinggis Khan and Oguz Khan traditions.

B. PROVERBS. Concerning the early Turkic proverbs, there is remarkable uniformity between the few Tunhuang and Turfan examples on the one hand, and the rich collection provided by al-Kāšġarī on the other. We may illustrate this by two examples, beginning with a pair of closely related proverbs in the $D\bar{l}w\bar{a}n$:

DLT 470 yaz-:

yazmās atim bolmās yaŋilmās bilgä bolmās "There is no marksman who does not miss, there is no sage who does not err."

610 yaŋqu: yazmās atim yaģmur yaŋilmās bilgä yaŋqu

"The marksman that does not miss is rain, the sage that does not err is echo."

Now, the best preserved of the Tun-huang fragments contains a series of five proverbs with the verbs az-ma-"not to stray" (always connected with yerči "guide") and yanil-ma-"not to err" (always with bilgä "sage" or bitkäči "scribe"). The one which is closest in sense to the above pair is (Hamilton-Bazin, 1972: 37):

(yaŋi) lma(z b)itkäči yoq a(zmaz yerči) yoq "There is no scribe who does not err, there is no guide who does not stray."

Although the use of yaz- rather than az- in the former examples furnishes the typical initial rhyme, it would be wrong to conclude that these are "better" or "more original" in terms of the oral tradition as a whole. One of the oracle texts provides another example of az- and ya η il- together (Arat, 1965: 286):

yol azsar äv tapmaz kiši yanjilsar iš bütmäz "If you stray from the road you won't find

the house, if a man errs his job won't get done."

And Kāšģarī furnishes an example of az- parallel to vaz-:

DLT 58 ula:

ula bolsa yōl azmās bilig bolsa sōz yazmās

"If there is a waymark you won't lose the way, if there is wisdom you won't err in speech."

Our second example may also serve to illustrate the "state of the art" with regard to reconstructing the oral tradition. Hamilton and Bazin (1972: 34) were confronted with the following fragment:

.....(S)LN : TUT
.....(g)ü : TUTmz ::

They compared this with the following proverb in the $D\overline{v}w\overline{a}n$:

DLT 622 arslān:

alīn arslān tutār kūčin sičgān tutmās "By trickery you can capture a lion, by force you can't capture a mouse."

They then reconstructed the above fragment as follows:

alin arslan tutar küčin küsgü tutmaz (same meaning)

Although this reconstruction is unexceptional so far as it goes ($k\ddot{u}sg\ddot{u}$ being a synonym of $si\dot{c}\dot{g}\bar{a}n$), three further matters ought to have been pointed out. First, Kāšģarī himself cites two other variants of the same proverb, both with a word meaning "scarecrow" instead of "mouse" (DLT 410-411 $k\ddot{o}sg\ddot{u}k$; 53 $\bar{a}l$ — here with oyuq, the Oģuz dialectical equivalent of $k\ddot{o}sg\ddot{u}k$). Second, Kāšģarī also cites two other proverbs dealing with a lion and a mouse:

DLT 50 alim, 206 bērim:

alimči arslān bērimči sičģān 560 qari-:

arslān qarīsa sičģān ütin ködāzūr "The creditor is a lion, the debtor is a mouse."

"When the lion grows old he watches the mouse's hole." Based on these first two points we may surmise that the "original" proverb had $si\check{c}g\bar{a}n$, that this was replaced by $k\ddot{u}sg\ddot{u}$, and that this in turn was replaced by $k\ddot{o}sg\ddot{u}k$ and then, among the Oguz, by the equivalent of the latter, oyuq. Third, Hommel (1923: 185) had suggested virtually the same reconstruction (but with $k\ddot{a}l\ddot{a}g\ddot{u}$ "gerboa" instead of $k\ddot{u}sg\ddot{u}$) fifty years before Hamilton-Bazin.

It has often been pointed out that the typical qualities of primitive Turkic verse — assonance, syntactic parallelism, initial rhyme, etc. — are also characteristic of Turkic proverbs, riddles, oracular sayings, and the like; and the conclusion has been drawn that verse has its origin in these other kinds of highly charged speech (Gandjeï, 1957; Gabain, 1963: 208 ff.; Şinasi Tekin, 1965: 59 ff.). In the $D\bar{\imath}w\bar{\imath}n$ we can, as it were, see the process at work; for there we find four examples of wisdom verses embodying proverbs which are also cited independently. Here we give the proverb first, followed by the verse:

1. DLT 224 tagāģu:

yazidaqi süwlīn edargali äwdäki taqāģu ičģinma

449 yawaš: qoldaš bilä yarašģil qaršip adin üdürmä bäk tut yawaš taqāģu süwlin yazin edärmä

2. 112 atit-:

tāy atitsa at tinūr oģul ärädsä ata tinūr

112 tāy, 514 tāy:
tägür mänig sāwimi
bilgäläkä āy
tinur qali atitsa
qisraq sani tāy

59 ümä: *ümä kälsä qut kälir* "When you go out to hunt pheasants in the field, don't let the hens in the house escape."

"When you make a friend show deference to him, do not oppose him or choose another over him; hold fast to the hens in your house, don't go after pheasants in the field and let the hens get away."

"When the colt is reckoned a horse, the horse rests; when the boy is counted among the men, the father rests."

"Convey my words and say to the sages, that the mare rests when her colt is counted among the horses."

"When a guest comes, good luck comes."

3.

55 oyuq, 193 qonuq: bardi ärän qonuq

körüp qutqa saqār qaldi yawuz oyuq

awuz oyuq körüp äwni yiqār "Gone are those who when they saw a guest, reckoned him as good luck; left are the evil ones who when they see a scare-crow, tear down the tent."

4. 46 anuq, 278 san-:

anuq utru tutsa yōqqa sanmās "If one offers the guest what is available, hospitality is not reckoned as nought."

59 ümä:

kälsä qali yarlig bolup

yunčiģ ümä käldür anuq bolmīš ašiģ tutma um-a "When a guest comes tattered and distressed, offer what food is available, don't keep him waiting and hoping."

The tendentious quality of such verses is clear in the second example above ("Convey my proverb to the sages and say . . ."), as also in the following:

DLT 221 talgān:

oğlum ögüt alğil

biligsizlik ketär talqān kimnig bolsa aŋar bäkmäs qatār

"My son, take my counsel and drive off ignorance, for he who has barley gruel mixes it with syrup (and similarly, he who has intelligence will accept advice)."

211 gardu:

qarduni yinčü saqinmāŋ tuzģuni manču sezinmāŋ bulmaduq nāŋkä säwinmāŋ bilgälār ani yērār

"Consider not ice grains as pearls, consider not a gift of food as a wage, rejoice not at what you have not found — at all these the sages scoff."

464 yüksäk:

üs äs körüp yüksäk

qaliq qōdi čoqār bilgā kiši ögüt berip

tawraq uqār

"When the vulture sees prey he swoops down from high in the air; when the wise man is given counsel he understands it immediately."

The practice of composing such verses must have been widespread. It is presupposed in the *Kutadģu Bilig* (see below).

The large majority of the proverbs in the $D\bar{\imath}w\bar{a}n$ embody what may be called "standard wisdom," often couching a homely truth in an image from nature or

daily life (e.g., DLT 271 tuģ-: mūš oġli muyāvu tuġār "The kitten is born miaowing," coined about a son who takes after his father), and counseling the virtues of modesty, generosity, patience, respect for elders, etc. It is noteworthy that the wisdom verses illustrate this standard wisdom exclusively. More interesting are those proverbs which reflect the lore and customs of the Inner Asian Turks. Elsewhere I have gathered those proverbs pertaining to tribal and kinship organization and to beliefs and superstitions (Dankoff, 1972; 1975). Related to the latter are sayings involving such originally alien culture terms as suburġān and toyin (cf., Dankoff, 1975: 69):

DLT 257 suburģān:

suburģānda äv bolmās topurģānda aw bolmās "There is no house for a dwelling among old tombs, there is no game for hunting on bare ground."

140 ağri, 519 toyin:

bīr toyin baši aģrīsa gamuģ toyin baši aģrīmās "If one priest has a headache, not all priests have headaches."

608 tänri:

toyin tapuģsāq täŋri säwinčsiz

"The priest is worshipful, but God is not pleased with his devotion."

Several proverbs reflect the relations of the Turks with the Chinese and the Iranians:

DLT 215 torqu:

tawģāč xānnig torqusi tälim täŋlāmäḏip bičmās

"The Khāqān of China has much silk but he measures it out before cutting" (advises moderation and thrift).

176 börk, 407 tat:

tatsiz türk bolmās bašsiz börk bolmās "No Turk without a Persian, no cap without a head."

406 tat:

tatig közrä tikänig tüprä

"(Strike) the Persian on the eye, (cut) the thorn at

the root."

407 tat:

qilič tatiqsa īš yunčīr är tatiqsa ät tinčīr "When the sword gets rusty the warrior's condition suffers, when a Turk assumes the morals of a Persian his flesh begins to stink."

Another group transmits lore regarding the nomadic habits, the medicinal practices, the flora and fauna and terrain of the Inner Asian peoples:

DLT 164 quz:

quzda qār ägsümās	"The shaded slope lacks
qōyda yāģ ägsūmās	not for snow, sheep lack
	not for fat."
234 qišlāģ:	
öz köz	"Oneself (or, the valley?)
īr qišlāģ	is the eye, the sunny slope
	is the winter quarter."1
39 ägir:	
ägir bolsa är ölmäs	"If a man has galingale he
	won't die."
70 aŋduz	
aŋduz bolsa at ölmās	"If there is elecampane
	the horse won't die."
179 sögüt, 502 sõl, 510 qās,	605 qadin:
sögüt sõliŋä	"The willow for its sap,
qadin qāsina	the birch for its bark."
458 yalga:	
qara mūŋ kälmäginčä	"As long as black misfor-
qara yalġa käčmä	tune, does not come, do

quš yawuzi saģizģān
"The worst bird is the
yiģāč yawuzi azġān
magpie, the worst tree is
yēr yawuzi qazġān
bodun yawuzi barsġān
is the eroded, the worst
people are those of
Barsġān."

Finally, there is a group of proverbs which illustrate the royal-wisdom tradition. One of those can be related to the tradition only tangentially, and because Kāšġarī connects it with an anecdote that must have been current in Karakhanid court circles:

DLT 238 qisrāq:

qīz birlä küräšmä qisrāq birlä yarišma

220-1 gazģān:

"Do not wrestle with a virgin. Do not race against a young mare."

not cross Qara Yalga [an

inaccessible pass between

Farghana and the Turk

country]."

"This," says Kāšġarī, "is one of the proverbs of the Khāqāniyya which pertain to the wedding night of Sultan Mascūd, when she tripped him with her foot." (For the historical background, see Barthold, 1968: 279-80). It is an example of what Boratav calls "les expressions proverbiales dont on explique l'origine par une anecdote à laquelle elles font allusion" (Boratav, 1964: 69; for another example see DLT 342 süčin-).

More significant are those having to do with beg "chief" and $\bar{e}l$ "realm, the territory under a Beg's control":

DLT 234-5 basruq:

L1 234-5 basruq:	
yēr basruqi tāģ	"The counterweights of
bodūn basruqi bēg	the earth are the mountains, of the people, the chiefs." [See Dankoff, 1972: 26.]
453 yarin:	
yarin bulġansa	"If the shoulderblade is
el bulġanūr	beclouded, the realm will
	be disturbed." [See
	Dankoff, 1975: 73.]
276 qal- 542 törü:	
ēl qalir	"The realm may be left
törü qalmās	behind, but custom may
	not be left behind."
273 čiq-, 494 kūč:	
küč ēldin kirsä	"When violence (or injus-
törü tüŋlüktin čiqār	tice) comes in by the
	courtyard, custom (or

law, justice) goes out be

the window."

C. KEY TERMS. We may use the last two proverbs cited above as the point of departure for a discussion of $\bar{e}l$ and its relation with $t\bar{o}r$ and $t\bar{o}r\bar{u}$. These are key terms in the Turkic/Inner Asian political tradition, as illustrated particularly in the Orkhon inscriptions and in $Qutad\dot{g}u$ Bilig. The first point of significance is the semantic spread of the word $\bar{e}l$. There are four distinct meaningss (cf. DLT 36):

- 1. courtyard;
- vile or ignoble (as in ēl quš "vulture," lit. "ignoble bird");
- realm or province (Ar. walāya; as in beg ēli "the Beg's realm"; also ēl baši "head of the realm" and secondarily "groom for horses";
- 4. peace.

As a hypothesis we may take "courtyard" as the core meaning from which the others developed by regular semantic transfer. If we picture in our minds the tent or vurt of an Inner Asian chieftain, ēl is the area before the entrance. In the above proverb it is contrasted with tünlük, originally the smokehole at the top of the dwelling. In Qutadgu Bilig we find ēl contrasted rather with $t\bar{o}r$ "seat of honor," the area within the dwelling which is opposite the entrance and near the fireplace. KB 2253: "Two things increase the fame of Begs: their banner in the courtyard $(\bar{e}l)$ and their feast-table in the place of honor $(t \ddot{o} r)$." 262-3: "If a fool has a seat in the place of honor $(t\vec{o}r)$ that place is reckoned the courtyard $(\bar{e}l)$, while if a wise man has a seat in the courtyard then the courtyard is far ahead of the place of honor." 844 (citing the Chief of Ili): "He who knows how to serve will attain the place of honor $(t\ddot{o}r)$; he who does not know will be thrown out of the place of honor into the courtyard ($\bar{e}l$)." (Cf. also 614-5.) It is clear that $t\bar{o}r$ is the noble part of the chieftain's dwelling, while $\bar{e}l$ is the ignoble part. This explains the second meaning of $\bar{e}l$ above. But it is also clear that $\bar{e}l$, the courtyard, symbolizes the entire area under the chieftain's authority. The Beg has not only to entertain the nobles in the place of honor; he has also to maintain his banner in the courtyard. He has to maintain control over all his subjects (just as a groom maintains control over the horses in his charge—cf. Ar. siyāsa "managing a horse; administering a country"). The realm or empire that is under the firm authority of the ruler is a Pax. Hence the third and fourth meanings of $\bar{e}l$ above.

As a second hypothesis, we may assume that $t \bar{o} r$ "seat of honor" fell together in some contexts with törü "custom, rule, justice" (Ar. rasm, insāf in DLT).² If the courtyard symbolized the territory under the ruler's command, the seat of honor symbolized the command itself, the law and order provided by the ruler's authority or, more narrowly, the custom and protocol of his court (e.g. KB 3997 ff.). A phrase such as KT E 3 ēlig tutup törüg etmiš originally could have signified "He captured the outer court or courtyard (el, metaphor for the land) and organized the inner court or place of honor (tör, metaphor for the government)." Then törüg could have been analyzed as törü-g. By the time of the Orkhon inscriptions, however, it is clear that the word parallel to $\bar{e}l$ was $t\ddot{o}r\ddot{u}$, not $t\ddot{o}r$, for the political organization of a country. In the Kül-Tägin inscription, for example, *el* and *törü* are used synonymously (object of the verbs ičġn- and qazġan-: KT E 6, 13; 9, 30), or else are used together as a paired expression or hendiadys (KT E 1, 8, 22, 31). A like usage is found in a verse in the Dīwān: ēl törü ētilsūn (so read), translated "that the realm (Ar. walāya) may prosper" (DLT 66 $\ddot{a}ndkik$). Alongside this usage, however, we find $\bar{e}l$ and törü contrasted, as in the proverb cited above (ēl galir

törü qalmās). This is the normal situation in Qutadģu Bilig, where törü is a synonym of könilik meaning "justice" (e.g. KB 355, 800) and is opposed to küč "injustice" (e.g. 3121, 3206-7, 5576). Thus, the ideal ruler "wielding the sword lops off the neck of his foe, and with law and justice (törü) governs his realm and his people (eli bodni) (KT 286; cf. 2015, 2034, etc.). Furthermore, the contrast ēl:törü allows Yūsūf to make plays on words between törü and tör:

KB 2196:

törülüg kiši boldi el tör baši "The statesman is head of both the courtyard (or realm) and the seat of honor (or court proper)."

830:

4604:

qayu elkä tegsä mäniŋ

inin "Over whatever land my bu törüm justice extends, that land prospers, though it be

stones and rock."

ol el barča etlür taš ersä qorum

törü bilsä yalŋuq bulur tör baši "If a man knows proper protocol he attains the head of the place of honor."

D. AUTHORITIES. Islamic mirrors for princes leaned heavily on the citation of authorities which added prestige to the wisdom imparted. These authorities included Muslim prophets and saints, Greek sages, Iranian rulers and princes, etc. (cf. Bagley, 1964: lvi-lxxiv).

Yūsuf, the author of Qutadgu Bilig, took as his starting point the Islamic-Iranian ideals of statecraft and of ethics which he found in Arabic (and perhaps also Persian) literature. But his aim was to make a new home for these ideals as part of an Inner Asian Turkic literary heritage. Stated otherwise, he aimed to establish a Turkic wisdom tradition alongside the Arab and the Iranian traditions within the larger frame of Islamic culture. The way he accomplished this may be illustrated in the following example. The king has sent his chief advisor on a mission to summon a certain holy man to the court. The latter refuses, saying that he has renounced the world and also that he is ignorant of royal protocol. He gives the advisor a written and an oral message to this effect, and states: "A messenger's job is only to deliver the message and wait for an answer; you have heard my answer, now convey it . . . " (KB 3814). Now any Muslim hearing this line would immediately think of the Koranic verse (5:92/3, 99): "It is only for the Messenger to deliver the Message." But

the holy man, instead of citing the Koran, continues as follows:

The Khan of the Three Camps has said, There is no fault in the messenger so long as he does not mince his words but delivers the message whole as it was entrusted to him. And the Khan of the Turks has put it even better: Do not punish the messenger for the message; he deserves neither punishment nor death so long as he truly reports what he heard; rather a messenger, as the ambassador of peace (elči), is inviolable, and if he transmits the message that was entrusted him, then praise and reward are his due.

Let us glance at a list of the cited authorities from the Turkic/Inner Asian background:

> Üč (Uč?) Ordu Khani 2966, 3815, 5569 Üč (Uč?) Ordu Begi 1594 Türk Khani 3817 Khaqan 3126 Türk Buyrugi 1163 Ögä Buyruqi 2941 Čigil 3491 Kök Ayuq 2644 Bökä Yavgusi 5043, 5523 Ila Atliģi 841, 1629, 2319 Ila Irkini 4752 Ila Sir Teni 2696 Ila Begi 1779 Ötükän Begi 1962, 2682 Yagma Begi 1758, 4947 Tona Alp Er 5861

Only the last of these can be related to a Turkic historical or legendary tradition (cf. KB 277; DLT 33 alp, 509 $q\bar{a}z$). The rest are apparently simply titles (cf. KB 4067-9), sometimes attached to geographical or tribal names. One also finds compounds with Beg which are not attached to a proper name:

Beglär Begi "the Beg of Begs" 893

Bodun Begi "the Beg of the people" 6138

El Känd Begi "the Beg of the realm and the city" 216

Ulug Känd Begi "the Beg of the great city" 5354

Similarly, there are general titles or offices, such as *Bodun Baščisi* ("Chief of the People"), *Elči Beg*, and the like. Finally, two cited authorities from outside the Turkic sphere (note also the appeal to Nūshīn Ravān, KB 290):

Tažik Bilgäsi "the Iranian sage" 3265 Sartlar Baši "Chief of the merchants" 2745, 3002, 5754

There is no discernible pattern to the citing of these authorities. The wisdom attributed to any one is usually of such a vague or general nature that it could just as well be attributed to any other, or to the standard "wise sage," who is in fact cited the most often (see below). There is also little if anything to distinguish the wisdom attributed to the above authorities from that embodied in what are called "proverbs" (masal, kički söz: 110, 1623, 3514), "Turkic proverbs" (türkčä masal: 273, 319, 880, 1798, 1826), or "Arabic sayings" (tazi tili: 5809). The same holds true for the scattered quatrains attributed to various anonymous poets. Except for their proverb-like character, and their peculiar rhyme-scheme, there are no other characteristics which distinguish them from the surrounding text. The reason is clear. All of this material proverbs, quatrains, and wisdom-sayings alike — was composed by Yūsuf himself, using the Islamic-Iranian wisdom and ethics tradition as his model. This does not mean that he did not also draw on a living Turkic/Inner Asian tradition; although in the one case where this is demonstrable, it is also likely that his access to that tradition was through the medium of Arabic literature (Dankoff, 1977).

E. "WISDOM." A final matter to be discussed is the term bilig. It is comparable to Ar. hikma in that it means both "wisdom" (cf. Pers. khirad) and "wise sayings" (cf. Pers. andarz). The title of Qutadgu Bilig means "the wisdom that conduces to royal glory" (qut meaning "fortune" or "the charisma of rule," corresponding to Pers. farr; see Bombaci, 1965-1966). We find the doctrine that wisdom (bilig) is learned while intellect (uqus) is innate (KB 1824 ff.) Through plays on words wisdom (bilig) is connected with the removal of illness (ig: KB 156) and also with the rule of the prince (beg: KB 1953). The wise sage (bügü, bilgä, biliglig, uqušluģ) who hands down wise sayings (söz: 292 f, 1048, 3383, 3594, 4135, 4177, 5142, 5980; sav: 973, 1807; bilig: see below) is assimilated to the ruler who hands down law and royal custom (törü: 269, 4446-51). Both bilig and törü are embodied in words, which constitute a legacy that the sage or the ruler lays down, and that is then passed on (qumaru "legacy": 270, 1341 f.; cf. DLT 634 $q\bar{o}d$ -). To impart counsel in the form of a maxim or wise saying is "to give wisdom" (bilig ber-: KB 778, 1727, 2617, 3382, 3670, 3687, 4240, 4691, 5084, 5171, 5419, 6081, 6448). The term bilig is found in hendiadys with söz (4221) and hikmat (2698). Occasionally the word is personified and it is bilig itself which utters the maxim (1673: te-; 4075, 4451: av-; 1385, 5076, 5606: sözlä-; cf. 904, 1728, 1844 where uguš

"intellect" utters the maxim). The plural biliglär is only found in the verse prologue which dates to a century or so after the original text:

KB VP 10-11:

bäzänmiš aģirliģ "It is adorned with wise

biliglär bilä . . . sayings most precious, . . .

qamuģ barčasiņa words of the sages for one

bügülär sözi . . and all. . . ."

III. CONCLUSION.

The foregoing survey should suffice to establish two points: that there was a strong indigenous wisdom tradition among the Inner Asian Turks; and that a main component of this tradition was royal, or political, wisdom. We have dwelt here on certain terms bilig, bilgä, ēl, törü — whose semantic spread and mutual interrelations illustrate these points clearly. Other words that could be studied from this point of view are *ärdäm* "virtue" and the derivatives of ö- "to understand" (ög "intellect; mature (of an animal); mother," ögä "mature (of a man); counsellor (title)." ögüt "advice," ögrän- "to learn," ögräyük "custom"). The question of (mutual?) influence between the Inner Asian and the Chinese and/or Iranian wisdom traditions is left open. Finally, we need studies of the relations between the pre-Mongol and post-Mongol materials, particularly in connection with the biligs and yasas of Chinggis Khan and his descendants; the inculcation of traditional wisdom illustrated in such texts as the Secret History of the Mongols and the Book of Dede Korkut; and the vast storehouse of proverbs extant in oral tradition among the Turkic and Mongol peoples.

BIBLIOGRAPHY AND ABBREVIATIONS

Arat, Reșid Rahmeti (see Yūsuf; Yükneki)

1936 Türkische Turfan-Texte. VII. [APAW Phil.hist. Kl. 12]. Berlin.

1965 Eski Türk Şiiri. Ankara.

Bagley, F. R. C.

1964 Ghazālī's Book of Counsel for Kings. London. Bang, W. and von Gabain, A.

1929 Türkische Turfan-Texte. II. SPAW. Berlin. Barthold, W.

1968 Turkestan down to the Mongol Invasion. Third Edition. London. [Gibb Memorial Series.]

Birtek, Ferit

1944 En eski Türk sayları, Ankara.

Bombaci, A.

1965-66 "Qutluy Bolzun," *Ural-Altaische Jahrbücher* 36, 284-291; 38, 13-43.

Boratav, P. N.

1964 "Les Proverbes," Philologiae Turcicae Fundamenta, II. Wiesbaden.

Brokelmann, Carl

1920 "Altturkestanische Volksweischeit," Ostasiatische Zeitschrift 7: 50-73.

"Altturkestanische Volkspoesie," II. Asia Major 1: 24-44.

Clauson, Sir Gerard

1972 An Etymological Dictionary of Pre-Thirteenth-Century Turkish. Oxford.

Czegledi, K.

1962 "Čoγay-quzi, Qara-qum, Kök-öng," Acta
Orientalia Hungarica 15: 55-69.

Dankoff, Robert

1972 "Kāšγarī on the tribal and kinship organization of the Turks," *Archivum Ottomanicum* 4: 23-43.

1975 "Kāšģarī on the beliefs and superstitions of the Turks," JAOS 95: 68-80.

1977 "Animal traits in the army commander,"

Journal of Turkish Studies 1: 95-112.

DLT

see al-Kāšģarī

von Gabain, Annemarie (see Bang)

1949 "Steppe und Stadt im Leben der ältesten Türken." Der Islam. 15: 30-62.

1963 "Zentralasiatische-Türkische Literaturen, I: Vorislamische Alttürkische Literatur," Handbuch der Orientalistik. Fünfter Band: Altaistik. Erster Abschnitt: Turkologie. Leiden. Pp. 207-228.

Gandjeï, Tourkhan

"Uberblick über den vor- und frühislamischen türkischen Versbau," *Der Islam* 33: 142-156.

Hamilton, J. and Bazin, L.

1972 "Un manuscrit Chinois et Turc runiforme de Touen-houang." *Turcica* 4: 25-42.

Hommel, Fritz

1923 "Zu den alttürkischen Sprichwörtern," Asia Major Probeband. [Hirth Anniversary Volume]: 182-193.

al-Jāḥiz

see Walker

al-Kāšģarī, Maḥmūd

1941 Divanü Lûgatit-türk Tıpkıbasımı. Ankara.

KΒ

see Yūsuf

KT

Kül-Tägin inscription; see Talât Tekin

Liu, Mau-tsai

1958 Die chinesischen Nachrichten zur Geschichte der Ost-Türken (Tu-küe). 2 vols. Göttinger Asiast. Forschungen 10. Wiesbaden.

Nizam al-Mulk

1960 The Book of Government or Rules for Kings.Tr.. H. Darke. London.

Rahmeti

see Arat

Tekin, Sinasi

1965 "Uygur edeibiyatının meseleleri (şekiller - vezinler)," Türk Kültürü Araştırmaları, 2/1-2: 26-67.

Tekin, Talât

1968 A Grammar of Orkhon Turkic. Bloomington. Thomsen, Vilhelm

1912 "Dr. M. A. Stein's manuscripts in Turkish 'runic' script from Miran and Tun-huang," JRAS: 181-227.

Ton Tonyukuk inscription;

see Talât Tekin

Walker, C. T. Harley

1915 "Jahiz of Basra to Al-Fath ibn Khaqan on the 'Exploits of the Turks and the Army of the Khalifate in General'." JRAS: 631-697.

Yūsuf (Khāṣṣ Ḥājib)

1947 Kutadgu Bilig I: Metin. Ed. R. Rahmeti Arat. Istanbul.

Yükneki, Edib Ahmed b. Mahmud

1951 Atebetü'l-hakayık. Ed. R. Rahmeti Arat. Istanbul.

¹ Exact meaning uncertain. Note Kāšģarī's interpretation: "If a man does his own job and does not entrust it to someone else he is like one who takes as his lot the winter quarter on the sunny slope of the mountain, for that side keeps green longer and has less snow in winter." Cf. (?) KB 6577 özkä köz täg; also the proverb (Arat, 1965: 274): taġda öz yoq say yazida bel yoq "No valley on the mountain, no slope on the plain."

² Less likely, *törü* is a secondary form of *tör*. In the *Dīwān* we find another secondary form, *törä* (DLT 542). From Kāšģarī's example, *törä yoqlādi* "He assumed the seat of honor," and from the fact that *yoqla*- like *aġ*-, meaning "to ascend," requires the dative (e.g. DLT 537 -*qa*, KB 1002, 1661), this must have been originally **tōr-ā* with the rare dative in -*ā* (or possibly **tōr-rā* with the directional suffix -*rā*). On *tōrā* in post-Mongol Turkic texts see Clauson 1972: 528-9, 531-2.

³ Nizam al-Mulk, in a similar context (1960: 98) quotes Koran 24: 54/3: "The messenger has only to convey the message plainly."